arXiv:1606.07204v1 [math.CO] 23 Jun 2016

An elementary proof of a conjecture on graph-automorphism

Sajal Kumar Mukherjee and A. K. Bhuniya

Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan-731235, India.
shyamal.sajalmukherjee@gmail.com, anjankbhuniya@gmail.com

Abstract

In this article, we give an elementary combinatorial proof of a conjecture about the determination of automorphism group of the power graph of finite cyclic groups, proposed by Doostabadi, Erfanian and Jafarzadeh in 2013.

Keywords: group; cyclic group; power graph; degree; automorphism
AMS Subject Classifications: 05C25

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group. The concept of directed power graph $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ was introduced by Kelarev and Quinn [4]. $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ is a digraph with vertex set G and for $x, y \in G$, there is an arc from x to y if and only if $x \neq y$ and $y = x^m$ for some positive integer m. Following this Chakrabarty, Ghosh and Sen [3] defined undirected power graph $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of a group G as an undirected graph with vertex set G and two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if one of them is a positive power of the other.

In 2013, Doostabadi, Erfanian and Jafarzadeh [1] conjectured that for any natural number n, Aut $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = (\bigoplus_{d|n,d\neq 1,n} S_{\phi(d)}) \bigoplus S_{\phi(n)+1}$, where ϕ is the Euler's phi function. Although, if n is a prime power, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is complete [3], hence Aut $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = S_n$. Hence, the conjecture does not hold if $n = p^m$. In June 2014, Min Feng, Xuanlong Ma, Kaishun Wang [5] proved that the conjecture holds for the remaining cases, that is for $n \neq p^m$. In fact they proved a more general result, but their proof uses some what complicated group theoritic arguments. Our aim of this paper is to provide a much more elementary combinatorial proof of the conjecture for $n \neq p^m$ without using any nontrivial group theoritic result.

2 Main Theorem

In this section, first we prove several lemmas and as a consequence, we shall prove the following result, which is the main theorem theorem of this article.

Theorem 2.1. *For $n \neq p^m$ (p prime), Aut $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = (\bigoplus_{d|n, d\neq 1,n} S_{\phi(d)}) \bigoplus S_{\phi(n)+1}$*

First we prove a technical lemma, which is also the heart of our argument. Before stating it, we have to fix some notations.

Let S be a finite set of positive real numbers. For each subset $B \subseteq S$, let $\prod(B)$ denote the product of all the elements of B. Now let us state and prove the lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $m_1, m_2, \cdots m_n$ be n positive integers with $m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_n$. Let m be any positive integer, and set $A = \{m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_n; m\}$ and $B = \{m_2, m_3, \cdots, m_n\}$. Then to every non empty subset S_B of B, we can associate a proper subset S_A of A, for which $m_1, m \in S_A$ and $\prod(S_B) < \prod(S_A \setminus \{m\})$. The association can be made one to one.*

Proof. We prove this by induction on n. Let $n = 2$. Then $A = \{m_1, m_2, m\}$, $B = \{m_2\}$ and $m_1 > m_2$. The only nonempty subset of B is B itself. To B, we associate $\{m_1, m\}$ and the result holds.

Now let the statement be true for $n = k$. We will prove for $n = k + 1$. Let $m_1, m_2, \cdots m_{k+1}$ be any $k + 1$ positive integers with $m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_{k+1}$ and m be any positive integer. Then $A = \{m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_{k+1}; m\}$ and $B = \{m_2, m_3, \cdots m_{k+1}\}$. Let B_1 be the collection of non empty subsets of B, which do not contain m_{k+1} and B_2 be the collection of subsets of B containing m_{k+1}. Now consider $\acute{A} = \{m_1, m_2, \cdots m_k; m_{k+1}\}$ and $\acute{B} = \{m_2, m_3 \cdots m_k\}$. Then by the induction hypothesis, to each nonempty subsets $S_{\acute{B}}$ of $\acute{B}$ we can associate a proper subset $S_{\acute{A}}$ of $\acute{A}$, for which $m_1, m_{k+1} \in S_{\acute{A}}$ and $\prod(S_{\acute{B}}) < \prod(S_{\acute{A}} \setminus \{m_{k+1}\})$. Now let S_{B_1} be an arbitrary element of B_1. But S_{B_1} is also a non empty subset of $\acute{B}$. So we have a proper subset $S_{\acute{A}_1}$ of $\acute{A}$ for which $m_1, m_{k+1} \in S_{\acute{A}_1}$ and $\prod(S_{B_1}) < \prod(S_{\acute{A}_1} \setminus \{m_{k+1}\})$ [by induction hypothesis]. Set $S_{A_1}(\subsetneq A)$ to be $(S_{\acute{A}_1} \setminus \{m_{k+1}\}) \bigcup \{m\}$. Clearly $\prod(S_{B_1}) < \prod(S_{A_1} \setminus \{m\})$. Now let S_{B_2} be an arbitrary element of $B_2 \setminus \{\{m_{k+1}\}\}$. Then $S_{B_2} \setminus \{m_{k+1}\} \in B_1$. So for the sake of simplicity assume that $S_{B_2} \setminus \{m_{k+1}\} = S_{B_1}$. But for S_{B_1}, we have S_{A_1}, so that $\prod(S_{B_1}) < \prod(S_{A_1} \setminus \{m\})$. Let us take S_{A_2} to be $S_{A_1} \bigcup \{m_{k+1}\}$. It is easy to see that S_{A_2} is infact a proper subset of A and $\prod(S_{B_2}) < \prod(S_{A_2} \setminus \{m\})$. Now the number of non empty subset of B is equal to the number of proper subset of A containing both m_1, m is equal to $2^k - 1$. Hence for the set $\{m_{k+1}\}$, there still remains exactly one proper subset S_A of A, containing both m_1, m_2. Clearly $\prod(\{m_{k+1}\}) = m_{k+1} < m_1 \leq \prod(S_A \setminus \{m\})$. This completes the induction as well as the proof. □

Before plunging into a chain of lemmas, we once again fix some notations. Let X_d denote the set of all generators of the unique cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ of order d. Then $|X_d| = \phi(d)$ We will denote a general element of X_d by x_d.

Lemma 2.3. *If $n = p_1p_2\cdots p_k$ where $p_1 > p_2 \cdots > p_k$ are distinct primes then there does not exist any graph automorphism $\sigma \in Aut\ (\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n))$ such that $\sigma(x_{p_i}) = x_{p_{i_1}p_{i_2}\cdots p_{i_l}}$ for any i, l and $i_1, i_2, \cdots i_l$ satisfying $i \geq i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_l$.*

Proof. To prove the lemma, it suffices to prove that degree (x_{p_i}) > degree $(x_{p_{i_1}p_{i_2}\cdots p_{i_l}})$, satisfying the above stated condition. But, since degree$(x_{p_i}) \geq$ degree$(x_{p_{i_1}})$ [as $i \geq i_1$], it suffices to prove that degree $(x_{p_{i_1}})$ > degree $(x_{p_{i_1}p_{i_2}\cdots p_{i_l}})$. We will only prove that degree (x_{p_1}) > degree $(x_{p_1p_2\cdots p_{k-1}})$ for the sake of simplicity, because the other cases will follow exactly in the similar fashion. Now, in Lemma 3.1, take

$A = \{p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \cdots p_{k-1} - 1, p_k - 1\}$ and $B = \{p_2 - 1, p_3 - 1, \cdots p_{k-1} - 1\}$. Then from Lemma 3.1 we see that the total number of vertices adjacent to x_{p_1} but not adjacent to $x_{p_1p_2\cdots p_{k-1}}$ is strictly greater than the number of vertices adjacent to $x_{p_1p_2\cdots p_{k-1}}$ but not adjacent to x_{p_1}. And this proves the claim. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $n = p_1^{m_1}p_2^{m_2}\cdots p_k^{m_k}$ and $p_1^{m_1} > p_2^{m_2} > \cdots p_k^{m_k}$. Then we have :*

(i): There does not exist any automorphism σ such that $\sigma(x_{p_i}) = x_{p_{i_1}^{\alpha_1}p_{i_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots p_{i_r}^{\alpha_r}}$ for any i, r and a proper subset $\{i_1, i_2, \cdots i_r\}$ of $\{1, 2, \cdots k\}$ satisfying $i \geq i_1 > i_2 \cdots > i_r$.

(ii): There does not exist any automorphism η such that $\eta(x_{p_1}) = x_{p_1{}^{\alpha_1}p_2{}^{\alpha_2}\cdots p_k{}^{\alpha}}$ for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots \alpha_k$ with $1 \leq \alpha_i \leq m_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots k$.

Proof. (i): Proof of this part is exactly similar to that of Lemma 2.3.

(ii): We divide this case into two cases.

Case(1): $k \geq 3$, i.e $n = p_1^{x_1}p_2^{x_2}\cdots p_k^{x_k}$ where $k \geq 3$ and $p_1^{x_1} > p_2^{x_2} > \cdots > p_k^{x_k}$. We will eliminate the following two difficult cases. Rests will follow quite similarly.

Subcase(1.1): If possible let $\eta(x_{p_1}) = x_{p_1^{x_1}p_2^r p_3^{x_3}\cdots p_k^{x_k}}$ where $1 \leq r < x_2$. Then the number of vertices adjacent to $x_{p_1^{x_1}p_2^r p_3^{x_3}\cdots p_m^{x_m}}$ but not adjacent to x_{p_1} is exactly equal to $(\phi(p_2)+\phi(p_2^2)+\cdots+\phi(p_2^r)+1)(\phi(p_3)+\phi(p_3^2)\cdots+\phi(p_3^{x_3})+1)\cdots(\phi(p_k)+\phi(p_k^2)+\cdots+\phi(p_k^{x_k})+1)-1$ which is equal to $p_2^r p_3^{x_3}\cdots p_k^{x_k}-1$. Now there are at least $(\phi(p_1)+\phi(p_1^2)+\cdots+\phi(p_1^{x_1}))(\phi(p_2^{r+1})+\phi(p_2^{r+2})+\cdots\phi(p_2^{x_2}))(\phi(p_3)+\phi(p_3^2)+\cdots+\phi(p_3^{x_3})+1)\cdots(\phi(p_{k-1})+\phi(p_{k-1}^2)+\cdots+\phi(p_{k-1}^{x_{k-1}})+1) = (p_1^{x_1}-1)(p_2^{x_2}-p_2^r)p_3^{x_3}\cdots p_{k-1}^{x_{k-1}}$ number of vertices adjacent to x_{p_1} but not adjacent to $x_{p_1^{x_1}p_2^r p_3^{x_3}\cdots p_k^{x_k}}$. But we see that $(p_1^{x_1}-1)(p_2^{x_2}-p_2^r)\cdots(p_{k-1}^{x_{k-1}}) > p_2^r p_3^{x_3}\cdots p_k^{x_k}$ which shows that degree of x_{p_1} is strictly greater than the degree of $\sigma(x_{p_1})$ which is a contradiction.

Subase(1.2): If possible let $\sigma(x_{p_1}) = x_{p_1^{m_1}p_2^{x_2}\cdots p_k^{x_k}}$ where $1 \leq m_1 < x_1$ but degree (x_{p_1}) > degree (x_{p_2}) > degree $(x_{p_1^{m_1}p_2^{x_2}\cdots p_k^{x_k}})$. [Second inequality follows exactly same way as the previous case] Hence a contradiction.

Case(2): $k = 2$ i.e n is of the form $n = p^a q^b$ where $p^a > q^b$. Now we have the following situations.

Subcase(2.1): $a = 1$, hence we may assume that $b \geq 2$(because the case $b = 1$ has already been dealt with). Now if possible let there exists an automorphism σ such that $\sigma(x_p) = x_{pq^t}, 1 < t < b$. Now since x_q is not adjacent to x_p, $\sigma(x_q)$ should be some x_{q^s} where $s > t$. But this is impossible, because degree (x_q) > degree (x_{q^s}).

Subcase(2.2): $a > 1$. Now if possible let $\sigma(x_p) = x_{p^m q^n}$ where $m \leq a$ and $n \leq b$. Let us assume that $n = b$ and also assume that $b > 1$(the case $n < b$ can be handled similarly). Then the number of vertices adjacent to $x_{p^m q^b}$ but not adjacent to x_p is $\phi(q) + \phi(q^2) + \cdots + \phi(q^b) = q^b - 1$. And the number of vertices adjacent to x_p but not adjacent to $x_{p^m q^b}$ is $(\phi(p^{m+1}) + \phi(p^{m+2}) + \cdots + \phi(p^a))(\phi(q) + \phi(q^2) + \cdots + \phi(q^{b-1}) + 1) = (p^a - p^m)(q^{b-1})$ which can not be equal to $q^b - 1$ if $b > 1$.

So assume that $b = 1$. Now if possible let $\sigma(x_p) = x_{p^m q}$. Now by the same logic as subcase2.1, $\sigma(x_q)$ is of the form x_{p^s} where $s > m$. Now the number of vertices that are adjacent to x_{p^s} but not adjacent to x_q is $p^a - 1$ but the number of vertices adjacent to x_q but not adjacent to x_{p^s} is equal to $(q-1)p^{s-1}$ which is not equal to $p^a - 1$. Hence a contradiction and the proof is complete.

□

Now we state our main lemma, which immediately implies the theorem.

Lemma 2.5. *If $\sigma \in$ Aut $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n))$, then $\sigma(X_d) = X_d$ for all $d(\neq 1, n)$ dividing n.*

Proof. We will illustrate the proof for $n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \cdots p_k$. The general case is similar. Suppose if possible $\sigma(x_{d_1}) = x_{d_2}$ for some automorphism σ and d_1, d_2 two distinct non trivial divisors of n. Then there exists some prime $p \in \{p_1, p_2, \cdots p_k\}$ such that p divides d_2 but does not divide d_1. So by the definition of automorphism there exists an automorphism which sends p to a vertex say v adjacent to d_1. Note that $v \neq p$. If p is greater than or equal to each prime divisors of v then we get a contradiction from Lemma 2.3. So there exists at least a prime divisor of v say q which is strictly greater than p. Now there exists an automorphism, which sends q to a vertex v_1 adjacent to p. Again note that v_1 is not equal to q. And we proceed similarly as before to eventually reach a contradiction using lemma 2.3.

□

Acknowledgement: The first author is partially supported by CSIR-JRF grant.

References

[1] A. Doostabadi, A. Erfanian, A. Jafarzadeh, Some results on the power graph of groups. The 44th Annual Iranian Mathematics Conference 2013, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran.

[2] P. J. Cameron, The power graph of a group, LTCC open day, 2010.

[3] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, M. K. Sen, Undirected power graphs of semigroups, Semigroup Forum 78(2009)410-426.

[4] A. V. Kelarev, S. J. Quinn, A combinatorial property and power graphs of groups, Contributions to general algebra, 12 (Vienna, 1999), 229-235, Heyn, Klagenfurt, 2000.

[5] M. Feng, X. Ma, K. Wang, The full automorphism group of the power (di) graph of a finite group. arXiv:1406.2788v1(2014).